APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION							
A. REPORT CO	OMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED J	URISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 15-May-2013					
B. DISTRICT C	B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: New York District, NAN-2012-01010-JD1						
C. PROJECT L	C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:						
State :		NY - New York					
County/parish	/borough:	Richmond					
City:							
Lat:		40.58072					
Long:		-74.15241					
Universal Trai	nsverse Mercator	Folder UTM List					
		UTM list determined by folder location					
		NAD83 / UTM zone 18N Waters UTM List					
		UTM list determined by waters location					
		NAD83 / UTM zone 18N					
Name of near	est waterbody:						
	est Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) rshed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC)						
Check if r	nan/diagram of review area and/or noter	ntial jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.					
	· -	inal jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request. lisposal sites, etc¿) are associated with the action and are recorded on a different JD form.					
		isposal sites, clos, are associated with the action and are recorded on a different ob form.					
D. REVIEW PE	RFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION:						
Office De	termination Date:						
Field Dete	ermination Date(s): 17-Jan-2013						
V		,					
SECTION II:	SUMMARY OF FINDINGS						
A RHA SECTI	ON 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDIC	TION					
i nere "naviga	ble waters of the U.S." Within Rivers and	Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.					
- \	Vaters subject to the ebb and flow of the	tide.					
	Vaters are presently used, or have been	used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.					
Explain:							
B CWA SEC	TION 404 DETERMINATION OF J	IRISDICTION					
		NA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.					
There water	S of the 0.3. Within Clean Water Act (Ch	va) jurisdiction (as defined by 35 CFR part 320) in the review area.					
1. Waters of the							
	ence of waters of U.S. in review area:						
Water Name		e(s) Present					
Wetland C		that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs					
Wolland O	Troidervoly 1 cimarion viacolo (11 vvo)	that for allocally of managery me 11110					
b. Identify (estin	nate) size of waters of the U.S. in the	review area:					
Area: (m²)	•						
Linear: (m)							
a Limita /hauna	larica) of invicalistics.						
•	laries) of jurisdiction:						
based on: OHWM Elevation	n: (if known)						
2. Non-regulated	d waters/wetlands: ³						
Potentially juris	dictional waters and/or wetlands wer	e assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:					
SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS							
A. TNWs AND	A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs						
	_						
1.TNW Not Applicable.							
_							

2. Wetland Adjacent to TNW

Not Applicable.

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) General Area Conditions:

Watershed size: Drainage area:

Average annual rainfall: inches Average annual snowfall: inches

(ii) Physical Characteristics (a) Relationship with TNW:

Tributary flows directly into TNW.

 $\hfill\Box$ Tributary flows through [] tributaries before entering TNW.

:Number of tributaries

Project waters are river miles from TNW.

Project waters are river miles from RPW.

Project Waters are aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Project waters are aerial(straight) miles from RPW.

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries.

Explain:

Identify flow route to TNW:5

Tributary Stream Order, if known:

Order	Tributary Name
1	Wetland C

(b) General Tributary Characteristics:

Tributary is:

Tributary Name	Natural	Artificial	Explain	Manipulated	Explain
Wetland C	Х	-	-	-	-

Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):

Tributary Name		·	
Wetland C	90	1	2:1

Primary tributary substrate composition:

Tributary Name	Silt	Sands	Concrete	Cobble	Gravel	Muck	Bedrock	Vegetation	Other
Wetland C	-	Х	-	-	-	-	-	Х	-

Vegetation Explained:

Tributary Name	Percent Cover	Vegetation Explained
Wetland C	20	Overstory

Tributary (conditions, stability, presence, geometry, gradient):

Tributary Name	Condition\Stability	Run\Riffle\Pool Complexes	Geometry	Gradient (%)
Wetland C	Moderately eroding channel; 2:1 slopes. Sand deposition and scouring noted.	None noted	Meandering	15

(c) Flow:

(0) 1 10 11.				
Tributary Name	Provides for	Events Per Year	Flow Regime	Duration & Volume
Wetland C	Seasonal flow	2-5	Sheetflow and sediment transport from adjacent flooded wetland to the north.	-

Surface Flow is:

our lace i low lo.				
Tributary Name	Surface Flow	Characteristics		
Wetland C	Overland sheetflow	Leaf litter; also lines on trees.		

Subsurface Flow:

Tributary Name	Subsurface Flow	Explain Findings	Dye (or other) Test
Wetland C	Unknown	-	-

Tributary has:

Tributary Name	Bed & Banks	онwм	Discontinuous OHWM ⁷	Explain
Wetland C	-	-	-	-

2 of 5 6/11/2013 6:47 PM If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction:

High Tide Line indicated by:

Not Applicable

Mean High Water Mark indicated by:

Not Applicable.

(iii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality;general watershed characteristics, etc.).

Tributary Name	Explain	Identify specific pollutants, if known
Wetland C	-	-

(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports:

Ĺ	Tributary Name	Riparian Corridor	Characteristics	Wetland Fringe	Characteristics	Habitat
	Wetland C	Х	Forested wetland of about 90 feet in width can serve as a riparian corrider to the Arhur Kill.	-	-	-

2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) Physical Characteristics:

(a) General Wetland Characteristics:

Properties:

Not Applicable

(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:

Flow is:

Not Applicable.

Surface flow is:

Not Applicable.

Subsurface flow:

Not Applicable

(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:

Not Applicable.

(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW:

Not Applicable

(ii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).

Not Applicable

(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports:

Not Applicable.

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any):

All wetlands being considered in the cumulative analysis:

Not Applicable

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:

Not Applicable.

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Significant Nexus: Not Applicable

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE:

1. TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands:

Not Applicable

2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:

and no that here alloonly or manoonly mo nation				
Wetland Name	Flow	Explain		
Wetland C	SEASONAL	Sheetflow from adjacent wetland to the north. Also deeply incised channel shows recent catastrophic sand deposition, with eroding banks and destruction of terrestral vegetation.		

3 of 5 6/11/2013 6:47 PM

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area **Wetland Name** Туре Size (Linear) (m) Size (Area) (m²) Wetland C Relatively Permanent Waters (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs 971.24544 Total: 0 971.24544 3. Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:⁸ Not Applicable Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area: Not Applicable. 4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Not Applicable. Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: Not Applicable. 5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs: Not Applicable. Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: Not Applicable 6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs: Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: Not Applicable. 7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters: 9 Not Applicable. E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS: 10 Not Applicable Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination: Not Applicable Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area: Not Applicable. F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS. INCLUDING WETLANDS If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements: Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce: Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based soley on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR): Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (Explain): Other (Explain): Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (ie., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment: Not Applicable. Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Not Applicable. SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES. A. SUPPORTING DATA, Data reviewed for JD. (listed items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference below) Not Applicable. B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:

Not Applicable.

4 of 5 6/11/2013 6:47 PM

¹⁻Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

²⁻For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

 $^{{\}bf 3}\textsubscript{-Supporting}$ documentation is presented in Section III.F.

⁴⁻Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

⁵⁻Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNV.

⁶⁻A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

^{7&}lt;sub>-lbid.</sub>

⁸⁻See Footnote #3.

 $^{^{9}}$ -To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

^{10.} Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

SECTION I: E	BACKGROUND INFORMATION	, h
A. REPORT CO	OMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED	JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 15-May-2013
B. DISTRICT O	FFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER:	New York District, NAN-2012-01010-JD2
C. PROJECT L	OCATION AND BACKGROUND INFO	RMATION:
State :		NY - New York
County/parish	/borough:	Richmond
City:		
Lat:		40.58072
		-74.15241
Long:	nsverse Mercator	
Ulliversal Ital	isverse wercator	Folder UTM List UTM list determined by folder location
		NAD83 / UTM zone 18N
		Waters UTM List
		UTM list determined by waters location
		NAD83 / UTM zone 18N
Name of neare	est waterbody: est Traditional Navigable Water (TNW rshed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC	
Check if n	nap/diagram of review area and/or pote	ential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.
Check if c	ther sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites,	disposal sites, etc¿) are associated with the action and are recorded on a different JD form.
D. REVIEW PE	RFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION:	
Office Det	termination Date:	
	ermination Date(s):	
4		,
SECTION II:	SUMMARY OF FINDINGS	
A DUA SECTIO	ON 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDI	CTION
There "navigal	ole waters of the U.S." within Rivers and	d Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.
□ v	Vaters subject to the ebb and flow of the	a tida
□ V	Vaters are presently used, or have been	n used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.
Explain:		
5 000 050		WIDIOD IOTION
B. CWA SEC	FION 404 DETERMINATION OF J	JURISDICTION.
There "waters	s of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (C	WA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.
		•
1. Waters of the		1
a. Indicate prese	ence of waters of U.S. in review area:	
Water Name	Water Typ	pe(s) Present
Wetland NB	Relatively Permanent Waters (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
	'	
b. Identify (estin	nate) size of waters of the U.S. in the	review area:
Area: (m²) Linear: (m)		
c. Limits (bound	laries) of jurisdiction:	
based on:		
OHWM Elevatio	n: (if known)	
2. Non-regulated	d waters/wetlands: ³	
_		re assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:
SECTION III:	CWA ANALYSIS	X.
A. TNWs AND	WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs	_
	_	
1.TNW Not Applicable.		

ORM Printer Friendly JD Form

Not Applicable.

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) General Area Conditions:

2. Wetland Adjacent to TNW

Watershed size: Drainage area:

Average annual rainfall: inches Average annual snowfall: inches

(ii) Physical Characteristics (a) Relationship with TNW:

- $\hfill\Box$ Tributary flows directly into TNW.
- $\hfill\Box$ Tributary flows through [] tributaries before entering TNW.
- :Number of tributaries

Project waters are river miles from TNW.

Project waters are river miles from RPW.

Project Waters are aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Project waters are aerial(straight) miles from RPW.

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries.

Explain:

Identify flow route to TNW:⁵

Tributary Stream Order, if known:

Order	Tributary Name
1	Wetland NB

(b) General Tributary Characteristics:

Tributary is:

Tributary Name	Natural	Artificial	Explain	Manipulated	Explain
Wetland NB	-	-	-		Sheet flow from wetland into rip rapped detention basin with a drain that eventually channels hydrology through the muncipal storm sewer system and into the Arthur Kill.

Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):

Tributary Name	Width (ft)	Depth (ft)	Side Slopes
Wetland NB	50	10	3:1

. I many anomaly caronate composition									
Tributary Name	Silt	Sands	Concrete	Cobble	Gravel	Muck	Bedrock	Vegetation	Other
Wetland NB	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Х

Other Explained:

Tributary Name	Other Explained		
Wetland NB	rip rap		

Tributary (conditions, stability, presence, geometry, gradient):

	e, etability, precentee, geemeny, graan	····y.		
Tributary Name	Condition\Stability	Run\Riffle\Pool Complexes	Geometry	Gradient (%)
Wetland NB	Highly stable: no erosion or sloughing	-	Relatively straight	30

(c) Flow:

Tributary Name	Provides for	Events Per Year	Flow Regime	Duration & Volume
Wetland NB	Seasonal flow	2-5	Wetland at top if slope	-

Surface Flow is:

Tributary Name	Surface Flow	Characteristics	
Wetland NB	Confined	-	

Subsurface Flow:

Tributary Name	Subsurface Flow	Explain Findings	Dye (or other) Test
Wetland NB	Unknown	-	-

Tributary has:

Tributary Name	Bed & Banks	онwм	Discontinuous OHWM ⁷	Explain
Wetland NB	-	-	-	-

2 of 5 6/11/2013 6:51 PM If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction:

High Tide Line indicated by:

Not Applicable

Mean High Water Mark indicated by:

Not Applicable.

(iii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality;general watershed characteristics, etc.).

Tributary Name	Explain	Identify specific pollutants, if known
Wetland NB	-	-

(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports:

Tributary Name	Riparian Corridor	Characteristics	Wetland Fringe	Characteristics	Habitat
Wetland NB	-	-	-	-	-

2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) Physical Characteristics:

(a) General Wetland Characteristics:

Properties:

Not Applicable

(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:

Flow is:

Not Applicable.

Surface flow is:

Not Applicable.

Subsurface flow:

Not Applicable.

(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:

Not Applicable.

(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW:

Not Applicable

(ii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).

Not Applicable.

(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports:

Not Applicable

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any):

All wetlands being considered in the cumulative analysis:

Not Applicable.

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:

Not Applicable

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Significant Nexus: Not Applicable

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE:

1. TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands:

Not Applicable

2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:

Wetland Name	Flow	Explain
Wetland NB	SEASONAL	Sheet flow off of slope

3 of 5 6/11/2013 6:51 PM

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area: **Wetland Name** Туре Size (Linear) (m) Size (Area) (m²) Wetland NB Relatively Permanent Waters (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs 36.421704 Total: 0 36.421704 3. Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:⁸ Not Applicable Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area: Not Applicable. 4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Not Applicable. Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: Not Applicable. 5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs: Not Applicable. Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: Not Applicable 6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs: Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: Not Applicable. 7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters: 9 Not Applicable. E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS: 10 Not Applicable Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination: Not Applicable Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area: Not Applicable. F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS. INCLUDING WETLANDS If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements: Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce: Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based soley on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR): Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (Explain): Other (Explain): Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (ie., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment: Not Applicable. Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Not Applicable. SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES. A. SUPPORTING DATA, Data reviewed for JD. (listed items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference below) Not Applicable. B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:

Not Applicable.

¹⁻Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

²⁻For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

- ${\bf 3}_{\text{-}}$ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.
- 4-Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.
- 5-Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNV.
- 6-A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.
- 7_{-Ibid.}
- 8-See Footnote #3.
- $\boldsymbol{9}$ -To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.
- 10. Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

6/11/2013 6:51 PM 5 of 5